arXiv:1611.07994v1 [math.PR] 23 Nov 2016

Optimal Unbiased Estimation for Maximal Distribution

Hanqing Jin* and Shige Peng†

November 24, 2016

Abstract

Unbiased estimation for parameters of maximal distribution is a very fundamental problem in the statistical theory of sublinear expectation. In this paper, we proved that the maximum estimator is the largest unbiased estimator for the upper mean and the minimum estimator is the smallest unbiased estimator the the lower mean.

Keywords: sublinear expectation, maximal distributions, Optimal unbiased estimation.

1 Introduction

Motivated by model uncertainties in statistics, the risk measures, super hedge pricing and modeling uncertainty in finance, Peng [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12] initiated the study of nonlinear expectations. Since it has essentially and even naturally embodied the uncertainty occurred in probability models and distribution models, the theory of nonlinear expectation has been developed quickly and has already made substantial progress in recent years. However, statistical analysis in nonlinear expectation cases hasn't accomplished such development. We refer to Lin et al. [6, 16] and Rokhlin [14], up to our knowledge. One of the main reasons is that it takes time to fully comprehend the meaning of the theoretical results and data analysis.

In the classical statistics theory, the law of large numbers (LLN) provide the basis for obtaining the unbiased estimator for parameters of unknown distribution. Peng [9, 11] obtained the corresponding LLN in the setting of sublinear expectations. For any i.i.d. sequence $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ in a sublinear expectation space $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$ satisfying

$$\lim_{c\to\infty} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[(|\xi_1| - c)^+] = 0,$$

*Mathematical Institute, Andrew Wiles Building, Oxford University

†School of Mathematics and Institute of Advanced Research, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, China. This research is partially supported by Zhongtai Institute of Finance, Shandong University and NSF China, No. L1624032. and No. 11526205 and 111 Project, No. B12023 of Chinese SAFEA.

then $\frac{1}{n}S_n = \frac{1}{n}(\xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_n)$ converges in law to a maximal distribution $X \overset{d}{=} M_{[\underline{\mu},\overline{\mu}]}$. (Some details will be presented in next section). The parameters $\underline{\mu}$ and $\overline{\mu}$ describe the distributional uncertainty. The problem of how to estimate the parameters $\underline{\mu}$ and $\overline{\mu}$ becomes also crucially important. In fact this problem also concerns how the whole theory of nonlinear expectation can be applied with it's statistics. In this paper, we will discuss a very fundamental problem: how to choose suitable estimators for the upper mean $\overline{\mu} = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[X]$ and lower-mean $\underline{\mu} = -\hat{\mathbb{E}}[-X_1]$ for a maximal distributed random variable X. We will prove that the maximum estimator is the largest unbiased estimator for $\overline{\mu}$ and the minimum estimator is the smallest unbiased estimator for $\underline{\mu}$. At last, we use this result to provide a very general estimators for the nonlinear distribution of X.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we present some basic theoretical result of sublinear expectation and the notion of G-distributions. The estimators of maximally distributed random variables is studied in Section 3. A general estimator for the distribution of a general random variable will be given in Section 4.

2 Preliminary

2.1 Sublinear expectations

Let Ω be a given set and let $\mathcal{H}$ be a linear space of real valued functions defined on Ω. In this paper, we suppose that $\mathcal{H}$ satisfies $c \in \mathcal{H}$ for each constant c and $|X| \in \mathcal{H}$ if $X \in \mathcal{H}$. The space $\mathcal{H}$ can be considered as the space of random variables.

Definition 1 *A **Sublinear expectation** $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$ is a functional $\mathbb{E} : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying*
(i) ***Monotonicity:***

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X] \geq \hat{\mathbb{E}}[Y] \quad \textit{if } X \geq Y,$$

(ii) ***Constant preserving:***

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[c] = c \quad \textit{for } c \in \mathbb{R},$$

(iii) ***Sub-additivity:*** *For each $X, Y \in \mathcal{H}$,*

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X + Y] \leq \hat{\mathbb{E}}[X] + \hat{\mathbb{E}}[Y],$$

(iv) ***Positive homogeneity:***

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\lambda X] = \lambda \hat{\mathbb{E}}[X] \quad \textit{for } \lambda \geq 0.$$

*The triple $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$ is called a **sublinear expectation space**. A sublinear expectation $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\cdot]$ defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{H})$ is said to be **regular** if*

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X_i] \to 0 \tag{1}$$

for each sequence $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ *of random variables in* $\mathcal{H}$ *such that* $X_i(\omega) \downarrow 0$ *for each* $\omega \in \Omega$.

If (i) *and* (ii) *are satisfied,* $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$ *is called a* ***nonlinear expectation*** *and the triple* $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$ *is called a* ***nonlinear expectation space*** .

Definition 2 *Let* $\hat{\mathbb{E}}_1$ *and* $\hat{\mathbb{E}}_2$ *be two nonlinear expectations defined on* $(\Omega, \mathcal{H})$. $\hat{\mathbb{E}}_1$ *is said to be* ***dominated*** *by* $\hat{\mathbb{E}}_2$ *if*

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}_1[X] - \hat{\mathbb{E}}_1[Y] \leq \hat{\mathbb{E}}_2[X - Y] \quad \textit{for } X, Y \in \mathcal{H}. \tag{2}$$

Remark 3 *From* (iii)*, a sublinear expectation is dominated by itself. In many situations,* (iii) *is also called the property of self-domination. If the inequality in* (iii) *becomes equality, then* $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$ *is a linear expectation, i.e.,* $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$ *is a linear functional satisfying* (i) *and* (ii).

Remark 4 (iii)+(iv) *is called* ***sublinearity****. This sublinearity implies*
(v) ***Convexity****:*

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\alpha X + (1-\alpha)Y] \leq \alpha \hat{\mathbb{E}}[X] + (1-\alpha)\mathbb{E}[Y] \quad \textit{for } \alpha \in [0,1].$$

If a nonlinear expectation $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$ *satisfies convexity, we call it a* ***convex expectation****.*

The properties (ii)+(iii) *implies*
(vi) ***Constant translatability****:*

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X + c] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[X] + c \quad \textit{for } c \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In this paper, we are mainly concerned with sublinear expectations. In the following sections, unless otherwise stated, we consider the following sublinear expectation space $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$: if $X_1, \cdots, X_n \in \mathcal{H}$ then $\varphi(X_1, \cdots, X_n) \in \mathcal{H}$ for each $\varphi \in C_{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ where $C_{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the linear space of functions φ satisfying the following Lipschitz condition:

$$|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \leq C|x - y| \quad \text{for all } \ x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$
$$\text{for some constant } C > 0 \text{ depending on } \varphi.$$

Often the n-dimensional random variables $X = (X_1, \cdots, X_n)$ is called an n-dimensional random vector, denoted by $X \in \mathcal{H}^n$.

Here we mainly use $C_{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in our framework only for some convenience of techniques. In fact our essential requirement is that $\mathcal{H}$ contains all constants and, moreover, $X \in \mathcal{H}$ implies $|X| \in \mathcal{H}$. In general, $C_{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be replaced by any one of the following spaces of functions defined on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

- $L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$: the space of Borel measurable functions;
- $\mathbb{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$: the space of bounded Borel-measurable functions;
- $C_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$: the space of bounded and continuous functions;

- $C_b^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$: the space of bounded and k-time continuously differentiable functions with bounded derivatives of all orders less than or equal to k;
- $C_{l,Lip}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$: the space of k-time continuously differentiable functions, whose partial derivatives of all orders less than or equal to k are in $C_{l,Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$;
- $C_{l.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$: the linear space of functions φ satisfying the following locally Lipschitz condition:

$$|\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)| \le C(1+|x|^m+|y|^m)|x-y| \quad \text{for } x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n,$$
$$\text{some } C>0,\ m\in\mathbb{N} \text{ depending on } \varphi.$$

- $C_{b.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$: the space of bounded and Lipschitz continuous functions;
- $C_{unif}(\mathbb{R}^n)$: the space of bounded and uniformly continuous functions.

Theorem 5 *(Robust Daniell-Stone Theorem) Assume that $(\Omega,\mathcal{H},\hat{\mathbb{E}})$ is a sublinear expectation space. If $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\cdot]$ is regular, then there exists a class of probability measures $\{P_\theta\}_{\theta\in\Theta}$ on $(\Omega,\sigma(\mathcal{H}))$ such that*

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X]=\max_{\theta\in\Theta}\int_\Omega X(\omega)dP_\theta, \quad \textit{for each } X\in\mathcal{H}. \tag{3}$$

where $\sigma(\mathcal{H})$ is the smallest σ-algebra generated by $\mathcal{H}$.

In fact, the $\{P_\theta\}_{\theta\in\Theta}$ can be chosen as all probability measure P_θ dominated by $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$. The subset $\{P_\theta\}_{\theta\in\Theta}$ can be used to denote the uncertainty subset of probabilities.

2.2 Distributions and independence of random variables

We now give the notion of distributions of random variables under sublinear expectations.

Let $X=(X_1,\cdots,X_n)$ be a given n-dimensional random vector on a nonlinear expectation space $(\Omega,\mathcal{H},\hat{\mathbb{E}})$. We define a functional on $C_{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$\mathbb{F}_X[\varphi]:=\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(X)]:\varphi\in C_{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)\to\mathbb{R}.$$

The triple $(\mathbb{R}^n,C_{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n),\mathbb{F}_X)$ forms a nonlinear expectation space. $\mathbb{F}_X$ is called the (nonlinear) **distribution** of X under $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$. This notion is very useful for a sublinear expectation space $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$. In this case $\mathbb{F}_X$ is also a sublinear expectation. Furthermore we can prove that (see Theorem 5), there exists a family of probability measures $\{F_X(\theta,\cdot)\}_{\theta\in\Theta}$ defined on $(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ such that

$$\mathbb{F}_X[\varphi]=\sup_{\theta\in\Theta}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\varphi(x)F_X^\theta(dx), \quad \text{for each } \varphi\in C_{b.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Thus $\mathbb{F}_X[\cdot]$ characterizes the uncertainty of the distributions of X.

Definition 6 *Let X_1 and X_2 be two n–dimensional random vectors defined on a nonlinear expectation space $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$. They are called* ***identically distributed****, denoted by $X_1 \overset{d}{=} X_2$, if*

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(X_1)] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(X_2)] \quad \text{for } \varphi \in C_{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

It is clear that $X_1 \overset{d}{=} X_2$ if and only if their distributions coincide. We say that the distribution of X_1 is stronger than that of X_2 if $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(X_1)] \geq \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(X_2)]$, for each $\varphi \in C_{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Remark 7 *In the many cases of sublinear expectations, $X_1 \overset{d}{=} X_2$ implies that the uncertainty subsets of distributions of X_1 and X_2 are the same, e.g., in the representation of uncertainty by Theorem 5,*

$$\{F_{X_1}(\theta_1, \cdot) : \theta_1 \in \Theta_1\} = \{F_{X_2}(\theta_2, \cdot) : \theta_2 \in \Theta_2\}.$$

Similarly if the distribution of X_1 is stronger than that of X_2, then

$$\{F_{X_1}(\theta_1, \cdot) : \theta_1 \in \Theta_1\} \supset \{F_{X_2}(\theta_2, \cdot) : \theta_2 \in \Theta_2\}.$$

The distribution of $X \in \mathcal{H}$ has the following four typical parameters:

$$\bar{\mu} := \hat{\mathbb{E}}[X], \quad \underline{\mu} := -\hat{\mathbb{E}}[-X], \qquad \bar{\sigma}^2 := \hat{\mathbb{E}}[X^2], \quad \underline{\sigma}^2 := -\hat{\mathbb{E}}[-X^2].$$

The intervals $[\underline{\mu}, \bar{\mu}]$ and $[\underline{\sigma}^2, \bar{\sigma}^2]$ characterize the **mean-uncertainty** and the **2nd moment-uncertainty** of X respectively.

Lemma 8 *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$ be a regular sublinear expectation space. Let $X \in \mathcal{H}^d$ be given. Then for each sequence $\{\varphi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset C_{b.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying $\varphi_n \downarrow 0$, we have $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi_n(X)] \downarrow 0$.*

Lemma 9 *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$ be a sublinear expectation space and let $\mathbb{F}_X[\varphi] := \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(X)]$ be the sublinear distribution of $X \in \mathcal{H}^d$. Then there exists a family of probability measures $\{F_\theta\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$ defined on $(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ such that*

$$\mathbb{F}_X[\varphi] = \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) F_\theta(dx), \quad \varphi \in C_{b.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^d). \tag{4}$$

Remark 10 *The above lemma tells us that in fact the sublinear distribution $\mathbb{F}_X$ of X characterizes the uncertainty of distribution of X which is an subset of distributions $\{F_\theta\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$.*

Definition 11 *A sequence of n-dimensional random vectors $\{\eta_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ defined on a nonlinear expectation space $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$ is said to* ***converge in distribution*** *(or* ***converge in law****) under $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$ if for each $\varphi \in C_{b.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the sequence $\left\{\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(\eta_i)]\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ converges.*

The following result is easy to check.

Proposition 12 *Let $\{\eta_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ converge in law in the above sense. Then the mapping $\mathbb{F}[\cdot] : C_{b.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by*

$$\mathbb{F}[\varphi] := \lim_{i\to\infty} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(\eta_i)] \quad \text{for } \varphi \in C_{b.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

is a sublinear expectation defined on $(\mathbb{R}^n, C_{b.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n))$.

The following notion of independence plays a key role in the nonlinear expectation theory.

Definition 13 *In a nonlinear expectation space $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$, a random vector $Y \in \mathcal{H}^n$ is said to be **independent** of another random vector $X \in \mathcal{H}^m$ under $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\cdot]$ if for each test function $\varphi \in C_{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^{m+n})$ we have*

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(X, Y)] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(x, Y)]_{x=X}].$$

Remark 14 *The situation "Y is independent of X"often appears when Y occurs after X, thus a robust expectation should take the information of X into account.*

Remark 15 *In a sublinear expectation space $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$, Y is independent of X means that the uncertainty of distributions $\{F_Y(\theta, \cdot) : \theta \in \Theta\}$ of Y does not change after each realization of $X = x$. In other words, the "conditional sublinear expectation" of Y with respect to X is $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(x, Y)]_{x=X}$. In the case of linear expectation, this notion of independence is just the classical one.*

It is important to observe that, under a nonlinear expectation, Y is independent of X does not automatically imply that X is also independent of Y. Examples can be found in Peng [13]. Hu [3] systematically characterized the situation when X and Y are mutually independent.

The independence property of two random vectors X, Y involves only the "joint distribution" of (X, Y). We refer to Peng [13] for the existence of random vectors $X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n$, with given "marginal distributions" and with a specific direction of independence.

2.3 Maximal distributions and law of large numbers

Let us define a special type of very simple distributions which are frequently used in practice, known as "worst case risk measure".

Definition 16 ***(Maximal distribution)*** *A d-dimensional random vector $\eta = (\eta_1, \cdots, \eta_d)$ on a sublinear expectation space $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$ is called **maximal distributed** if there exists a bounded, closed and convex subset $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ such that*

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(\eta)] = \max_{y\in\Gamma} \varphi(y), \quad \varphi \in C_{l.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

For the case $d = 1$ we have $\Gamma = [\underline{\mu}, \overline{\mu}]$, where $\overline{\mu} = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\eta]$ and $\underline{\mu} = -\mathbb{E}[-\eta]$. The distribution of η is given by

$$\mathbb{F}_\eta[\varphi] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(\eta)] = \sup_{\underline{\mu} \le y \le \underline{\mu}} \varphi(y) \quad \text{for } \varphi \in C_{l.Lip}(\mathbb{R}).$$

We denote by $\eta \overset{d}{=} M_{[\underline{\mu}, \overline{\mu}]}$.

Remark 17 *Here Γ gives the degree of uncertainty of η. It is easy to check that this maximally distributed random vector η satisfies*

$$a\eta + b\bar{\eta} \overset{d}{=} (a+b)\eta \quad \text{for } a, b \ge 0,$$

where $\bar{\eta}$ is an independent copy of η. In fact this relation characterizes a maximal distribution (see [13]). Maximal distribution is also called "worst case risk measure" in finance. It is easy to check that if η' and eta are both maximally distributed and if η' is independent of η, then η is also independent of η'.

Remark 18 *Hu [3] proved that for two non-trivial random variables X and Y under a sublinear expectation space, namely the distribution of X is not linear and Y is not a constant , if X is independent from Y and Y is independent from X, then X and Y must be maximally distributed.*

In the limit theory of sublinear expectations, the law of large numbers play a fundamental role.

Theorem 19 ***(Law of large numbers, Peng [13])*** *Let $\{Y_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued random variables on a sublinear expectation space $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$. We assume that $Y_{i+1} \overset{d}{=} Y_i$ and Y_{i+1} is independent from $\{Y_1, \cdots, Y_i\}$ for each $i = 1, 2, \cdots$. We assume furthermore the following uniformly integrable condition:*

$$\lim_{\lambda \to +\infty} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[(|Y_1| - \lambda)^+] = 0. \tag{5}$$

Then the sequence $\{(Y_1 + \cdots + Y_n)/n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges in law to a maximal distribution, i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i)] = \max_{\theta \in \bar{\Theta}} \varphi(\theta), \tag{6}$$

for all functions $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying linear growth condition ($|\varphi(x)| \le C(1 + |x|)$), where $\bar{\Theta}$ is the (unique) bounded, closed and convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying

$$\max_{\theta \in \bar{\Theta}} \langle p, \theta \rangle = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\langle p, Y_1 \rangle], \quad p \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Remark 20 *The above LLN in the framework of sublinear expectation tells us that the maximal distribution can be widely applied in situations where probability uncertainty cannot be negligible.*

Remark 21 *[9, 13] first provided the above LLN (as well as the corresponding central limit theorem) under a stronger moment condition on Y_1. But the proof is easily adapted for the weaker condition (5) proposed by [17]. This condition is also equivalent to the following more classical one:*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \bar{\mathbb{E}}[|Y_1|\mathbf{1}_{|Y_1|>n}] = 0.$$

Chen [2] then studied the corresponding strong LLN by using Choquet capacity. We also refer to [15] [17, 18, 19], [1], [4][5] for further studies of limit theorems under PU.

The convergence result of (6) means that the sequence $\{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i\}$ converges in law to a d-dimensional maximal distributed random vector η and the corresponding sublinear function $g : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$g(p) := \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\langle p, Y_1\rangle], \ \ p \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

If all components of $Y_1 = (Y_1^1, \cdots, Y_1^d)$ satisfy $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[Y_1^i] = -\hat{\mathbb{E}}[-Y_1^i] = \mu^i$, namely Y_1 has no mean uncertainty. Then we can check that $\eta \equiv \mu = (\mu^1, \cdots, \mu^d)$ and $\bar{\Theta} = \{\mu\}$. In this case we can prove that $\{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i\}$ converges strongly to the constant vector μ since, according to Theorem 19,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i - \mu|] = \max_{\theta\in\bar{\Theta}} |\theta - \mu| = 0. \tag{7}$$

But in many practical situations, the mean uncertainty is not negligible.

3 Main result

In this section, we present our main result. Through the whole section, let $X_1, \cdots, X_n$ be n copies of the same maximal distribution

$$X_i \overset{d}{=} M_{[\underline{\mu},\overline{\mu}]}, \quad i = 1, \cdots, n.$$

with unknown parameters $\underline{\mu} \le \overline{\mu}$, and X_i is independent of $\{X_j\}_{j=1,\cdots,i-1}$. In short, we say our $X_1, \cdots, X_n$ are i.i.d.. It is clear that maximum distribution is completely determined by these two parameters. It is then important to construct statistics to estimate parameters $\underline{\mu}$ and $\overline{\mu}$ properly.

It is natural to think of the following statistics:

$$\overline{\mu}^*(X_1, \cdots, X_n) := \max\{X_1, \cdots, X_n\}, \tag{8}$$

$$\underline{\mu}_*(X_1, \cdots, X_n) := \min\{X_1, \cdots, X_n\}. \tag{9}$$

Like in the classical statistical theory, we want our estimator to be unbiased in some sense, which should be redefined properly.

Definition 22 *Let $f_n \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$, a statistic $T_n = f_n(X_1, \cdots, X_n)$ is called an unbiased estimator of $\overline{\mu}$ (resp. for $\underline{\mu}$) if*

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[f_n(X_1, \cdots, X_n)] = \overline{\mu} \text{ (resp. } -\hat{\mathbb{E}}[-f_n(X_1, \cdots, X_n)] = \underline{\mu}),$$
$$\text{for all } -\infty < \underline{\mu} \leq \overline{\mu} < \infty.$$

We have the following lemma.

Lemma 23 *If $f_n \in C_{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the estimator $T_n = f_n(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n)$ is unbiased for the upper mean $\overline{\mu}$, then for all $\underline{\mu} \leq \overline{\mu}$, we have,*

$$\max_{(x_1,\cdots,x_n)\in[\underline{\mu},\overline{\mu}]^n} f_n(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = \overline{\mu}, \tag{10}$$

$$\text{(resp. } \min_{(x_1,\cdots,x_n)\in[\underline{\mu},\overline{\mu}]^n} f_n(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = \underline{\mu}) \tag{11}$$

Consequently, for all $\underline{\mu} \leq \overline{\mu}$, and $(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in [\underline{\mu}, \overline{\mu}]^n$,

$$f_n(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \leq \overline{\mu}, \tag{12}$$

$$\text{(resp. } f_n(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \geq \underline{\mu}). \tag{13}$$

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathbb{E}}[f_n(X_1, \cdots, X_n)] &= \hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\left\{\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\max_{\underline{\mu}\leq x_n\leq\bar{\mu}} f_n(x_1, \cdots, x_n)]\right\}_{x_1=X_1,\cdots,x_{n-1}=X_{n-1}}\right] \\
&= \hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[\max_{\underline{\mu}\leq x_n\leq\bar{\mu}} f_n(X_1, \cdots, X_{n-1}, x_n)\right] \\
&= ... = \max_{1\leq i\leq n} \max_{\underline{\mu}\leq x_i\leq\overline{\mu}} f_n(x_1, \cdots, x_n).
\end{aligned}$$

Denote $f_{n-1}(x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}) := \max_{\underline{\mu}\leq x_n\leq\bar{\mu}} f_n(x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}, x_n)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& |f_{n-1}(a_1, \cdots, a_{n-1}) - f_{n-1}(b_1, \cdots, b_{n-1})| \\
\leq & \max_{x_n\in[\underline{\mu},\bar{\mu}]} |f_n(a_1, \cdots, a_{n-1}, x_n) - f_n(b_1, \cdots, b_{n-1}, x_n)| \\
\leq & \max_{x_n\in[\underline{\mu},\bar{\mu}]} L|(a_1, \cdots, a_{n-1}) - (b_1, \cdots, b_{n-1})| \\
= & L|(a_1, \cdots, a_{n-1}) - (b_1, \cdots, b_{n-1})|,
\end{aligned}$$

which means $f_{n-1} \in C_{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$, hence we can continue our equality

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathbb{E}}[f_n(X_1, \cdots, X_n)] &= \hat{\mathbb{E}}[f_{n-1}(X_1, \cdots, X_{n-1})] \\
&\cdots \\
&= \hat{\mathbb{E}}[f_1(X_1)] \\
&= \max_{x_1 \in [\underline{\mu}, \bar{\mu}]} f_1(x_1) \\
&= \max_{x_1 \in [\underline{\mu}, \bar{\mu}]} \max_{x_2 \in [\underline{\mu}, \bar{\mu}]} f_2(x_1, x_2) \\
&= \max_{(x_1, x_2) \in [\underline{\mu}, \bar{\mu}]^2} f_2(x_1, x_2) \\
&\cdots \\
&= \max_{(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in [\underline{\mu}, \bar{\mu}]^n} f_n(x_1, \cdots, x_n).
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have (10) and thus (12). The proof of (11) and (13) are similar. ■

Now, we present our main result.

Theorem 24 *Let $X_1, \cdots, X_n$ be i.i.d. sample of size n from the population of maximal distribution*

$$X_i \overset{d}{=} M_{[\underline{\mu}, \overline{\mu}]}, \qquad i = 1, \cdots, n,$$

with unknown parameters $\underline{\mu} \leq \overline{\mu}$. Then we have, quasi surely (i.e., P_θ-almost surely for any $\theta \in \Theta$),

$$\underline{\mu} \leq \min\{X_1(\omega), \cdots, X_n(\omega)\} \leq \max\{X_1(\omega), \cdots, X_n(\omega)\} \leq \overline{\mu}.$$

Moreover,

$$\widehat{\overline{\mu}}_n = \max\{X_1, \cdots, X_n\}$$

is the largest unbiased estimator for the upper mean $\overline{\mu}$,

$$\widehat{\underline{\mu}}_n = \min\{X_1, \cdots, X_n\}$$

is the smallest unbiased estimator for the lower mean $\underline{\mu}$.

Proof. It is easy to check that $\widehat{\overline{\mu}} = \max\{X_1, \cdots, X_n\}$ is an unbiased estimator for the unknown upper mean $\overline{\mu}$ and $\widehat{\underline{\mu}} = \min\{X_1, \cdots, X_n\}$ is an unbiased estimator for the unknown lower mean $\underline{\mu}$.

Let $T_n = f_n(Y_1, \cdots, Y_n)$ be a given unbiased estimator for the upper mean $\overline{\mu}$. For any $y_1, \cdots, y_n \in \mathbb{R}$, we set

$$\overline{\tilde{\mu}} = \max\{y_1, \cdots, y_n\}, \quad \underline{\tilde{\mu}} = \min\{y_1, \cdots, y_n\},$$

and consider the case $Y_i \overset{d}{=} M_{[\underline{\tilde{\mu}}, \overline{\tilde{\mu}}]}$. According to Lemma 23, the unbiased estimator $T_n = f_n(Y_1, \cdots, Y_n)$, f_n must satisfy (12), namely,

$$f_n(y_1, \cdots, y_n) \leq \overline{\tilde{\mu}} = \max\{y_1, \cdots, y_n\}.$$

Since $y_1, \cdots, y_n$ can be arbitrarily chosen, we then have

$$f_n(y_1, \cdots, y_n) \leq \max\{y_1, \cdots, y_n\}, \quad \forall y_1, \cdots, y_n \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Thus $\widehat{\overline{\mu}}_n$ is the largest estimator for the upper mean. We can prove that $\widehat{\underline{\mu}}_n$ is the smallest estimator for the lower mean. ■

The next proposition tell us that the two estimators are both maximal distributed with the same lower mean $\underline{\mu}$ and upper mean $\overline{\mu}$.

Proposition 25 *Let $X_1, \cdots, X_n$ be a maximal distributed i.i.d. sample with $X_1 = M_{[\underline{\mu}, \overline{\mu}]}$. Then*

$$\max\{X_1(\omega), \cdots, X_n(\omega)\} \overset{d}{=} \min\{X_1(\omega), \cdots, X_n(\omega)\} \overset{d}{=} M_{[\underline{\mu}, \overline{\mu}]}. \tag{14}$$

Proof. It is clear that, for each $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(\max\{X_1, \cdots, X_n\})] &= \max_{(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in [\underline{\mu}, \bar{\mu}]^n} \varphi(x_1 \vee x_2 \vee \cdots \vee x_n) \\ &= \max_{x \in [\underline{\mu}, \bar{\mu}]} \varphi(x) = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(X_1)]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\max\{X_1, \cdots, X_n\} \overset{d}{=} M_{[\underline{\mu}, \overline{\mu}]}$. Similarly we can prove that $\min\{X_1, \cdots, X_n\} \overset{d}{=} M_{[\underline{\mu}, \overline{\mu}]}$. ■

Remark 26 *In fact, we can prove that, for continuous function $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have*

$$f(X_1, \cdots, X_n) \overset{d}{=} M_{[\underline{\mu}_f, \overline{\mu}_f]} \tag{15}$$

where

$$\overline{\mu}_f := \max_{(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in [\underline{\mu}, \bar{\mu}]^n} f(x_1, \cdots, x_n), \quad \underline{\mu}_f := \min_{(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in [\underline{\mu}, \bar{\mu}]^n} f(x_1, \cdots, x_n).$$

Indeed, for each $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(f(X_1, \cdots, X_n))] = \max_{(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in [\underline{\mu}, \bar{\mu}]^n} \varphi(f(x_1, \cdots, x_n)) = \max_{y \in [\underline{\mu}_f, \overline{\mu}_f]} \varphi(y),$$

which implies (15).

4 General estimator

In many practical situations, it is not easy to get a maximal distributed i.i.d. sample $\{X_1, \cdots, X_n\}$ such that $X_i \overset{d}{=} M_{[\underline{\mu}, \overline{\mu}]}$. We usually treat an asymptotic case with a sequence of samples $\{X_1^{(k)}, \cdots, X_n^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, which converges in law to $\{X_1, \cdots, X_n\}$, where n is fixed. In this situation, we still can get asymptotically unbiased estimators of the upper mean $\overline{\mu}$ and the lower mean $\underline{\mu}$. Now, the definition of asymptotically unbiased estimator is presented.

Definition 27 *For a sequence of samples $\{X_1^{(k)}, \cdots, X_n^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and a function $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we call the statistic $f(X_1^{(k)}, \cdots, X_n^{(k)})$ an* ***asymptotically ubiased*** *estimator*

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[(f(X_1^{(k)}, \cdots, X_n^{(k)})] = \overline{\mu} \text{ (resp. } = \underline{\mu}). \tag{16}$$

Generally, if there exists a sequence of i.i.d. d-dimensional samples $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, where $X_i \overset{d}{=} X$, we can construct the asymptotically largest unbiased estimator for the distribution of X. To this end, let us take any test function $\varphi \in C_{b,Lip}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. It is clear that $\{\varphi(X_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of bounded and i.i.d. random variables. We define the statistic

$$M_{k,n}[\varphi] := \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varphi(X_{\mathrm{trn}(i,k)}),$$

where $\mathrm{trn}(n,k) = \frac{(n+k)(n+k-1)}{2} - (k-1)$ is the numbering of the (n,k) node when we array integers in the infinite matrix by triangle order.

By Theorem 19, for each fixed $k = 1, 2, \cdots$, $\{M_{k,n}[\varphi]\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges in law to the maximal distribution $M_{[\underline{\mu}_X(\varphi), \overline{\mu}_X(\varphi)]}$, where

$$\overline{\mu}_X(\varphi) := \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(X)], \quad \underline{\mu}_X(\varphi) = -\hat{\mathbb{E}}[-\varphi(X)].$$

Observe that $\overline{\mu}_X(\cdot) : C_{b.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}$ is the sublinear distribution operator of X. Moreover, for each fixed k, the i.i.d sequence $\{M_{1,n}[\varphi], \cdots, M_{k,n}[\varphi]\}$ converges to $\{Y_1, \cdots, Y_k\}$ weakly, where $Y_k \overset{d}{=} M_{[\underline{\mu}_X(\varphi), \overline{\mu}_X(\varphi)]}$. Then the estimator

$$\mathbb{T}_k[\varphi](X_1, \cdots, X_{nk}) := M_{1,n}[\varphi] \vee \cdots \vee M_{k,n}[\varphi]$$

provide us the asymptotically largest unbiased estimator of the sublinear distribution of X by Theorem 24.

In the statistic $M_{k,n}$, we needy to align samples in the triangle order, which is not an efficient way to use samples. In the real application, we can fix a large number n based on the total sample size, and group all samples equally with some group size n, and then take $M_{k,n}$ as the average of k^{th} group.

Acknowledgment

We thank Dr. Wang Hanchao who provided some very useful suggestions to improve the first draft of this paper.

References

[1] Bayraktar, E. and Munk, A. (2014) α-Stable Limit Theorem Under Sublinear Expectation. Bernoulli, in press.

[2] Chen, Z. (2016) Strong laws of large numbers for sub-linear expectations. Science China Mathematics, 59, 945-954.

[3] Hu, M. The independence under sublinear expectations. *arXiv: 1107.0361* (2011).

[4] Hu, Z. and Zhou, L. (2015) Multi-dimensional central limit theorems and laws of large numbers under sublinear expectations. Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.), 31, 305-318.

[5] Li, X. (2015) A central limit theorem for m-dependent random variables under sublinear expectations. Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica(English Series), 31(2), 435-444.

[6] Lin L., Shi Y., Wang X., and Yang S. (2013) Sublinear expectation linear regression, in Statistics.

[7] Peng, S. Nonlinear expectations and nonlinear Markov chains. *Chin, Ann. Math. 26 B* (2006), no. 2, 159-184.

[8] Peng, S. G-expectation, G-Brownian motion and related stochastic calculus of It ô type. Stochastic analysis and applications. *Stochastic analysis and applications, Abel Symp., 2* (2007), no. 2, 541-567.

[9] Peng, S. Law of large number and central limit theorem under nonlinear expectations. *arXiv: math/0702358,* (2007).

[10] Peng, S. Multi-dimensional G-Brownian motion and related stochastic calculus under G-expectation. *Stochastic Process. Appl. 118,* (2008), no. 12, 2223-2253.

[11] Peng, S. A new central limit theorem under sublinear expectations. *arXiv: 0803.2656v1,* (2008).

[12] Peng, S. Survey on normal distributions, central limit theorem, Brownian motion and the related stochastic calculus under sublinear expectations. *Sci. China Ser. A 52* (2009), no. 7, 1391-1411.

[13] Peng, S. Nonlinear expectations and stochastic calculus under uncertainty *arXiv:1002.4546* (2010).

[14] Rokhlin, D. (2016) Asymptotic sequential rademacher complexity of a finite function class, arXiv: 1605.03843v1.

[15] Wu, P. and Chen, Z. (2015) Invariance principles for the law of the iterated logarithm under G-framework. Science China Mathematics, 58, 1251-1264.

[16] Song Y. and Lin, L. (2013) Sublinear Expectation Nonlinear Regression for the Financial Risk Measurement and Management, in Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society, Volume 2013, Article ID 398750.

[17] Zhang, L. (2014) Exponential inequalities under sublinear expectations with applications to laws of the iterated logarithm, in arXiv:1409.0285.

[18] Zhang, L. (2015) Donsker's invariance principle under the sub-linear expectation with an application to Chung's law of the iterated logarithm. Communications in Mathematics and Statistics, 3, 187-214.

[19] Zhang, L. (2016) Rosenthal's inequalities for independent and negatively dependent random variables under sub-linear expectations with applications. Science China Mathematics, 59, 751-768.